# Entropy stable discontinuous Galerkin methods with arbitrary bases and quadratures

Jesse Chan

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computational and Applied Math

ICOSAHOM 2018 July 25, 2018

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.
- Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



Goal: address instability of hi Figures courtesy of T. Warburton, A. Modave.

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.
- Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



Fine linear approximation.

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.
- Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



Coarse quadratic approximation.

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.

 Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



Figure from Per-Olof Persson.

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.
- Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



Figure from Per-Olof Persson.

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.
- Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



A graphics processing unit (GPU).

- Accurate resolution of propagating waves and vortices.
- High order: low numerical dissipation and dispersion.
- High order approximations: more accurate per unknown.
- Many-core architectures (efficient explicit time-stepping).



A graphics processing unit (GPU).



• Burgers' equation:  $f(u) = u^2/2$ . How to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u)$ ?

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t} + rac{1}{2}rac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} = 0, \qquad u \in P^N(D^k), \quad u^2 \notin P^N(D^k).$$

• Differentiating  $L^2$  projection  $P_N$  + inexact quadrature: no chain rule.

$$\int_{D^k} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_N u^2 \right) v \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P_N u^2}{\partial x} \neq P_N \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$



• Burgers' equation:  $f(u) = u^2/2$ . How to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u)$ ?

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t}+rac{1}{2}rac{\partial u^2}{\partial x}=0, \qquad u\in P^N(D^k), \quad u^2
ot\in P^N(D^k).$$

• Differentiating  $L^2$  projection  $P_N$  + inexact quadrature: no chain rule.

$$\int_{D^k} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_N u^2 \right) v \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P_N u^2}{\partial x} \neq P_N \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$



• Burgers' equation:  $f(u) = u^2/2$ . How to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u)$ ?

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t}+rac{1}{2}rac{\partial u^2}{\partial x}=0, \qquad u\in P^N(D^k), \quad u^2
ot\in P^N(D^k).$$

• Differentiating  $L^2$  projection  $P_N$  + inexact quadrature: no chain rule.

$$\int_{D^k} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_N u^2 \right) v \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P_N u^2}{\partial x} \neq P_N \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$



• Burgers' equation:  $f(u) = u^2/2$ . How to compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u)$ ?

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t}+rac{1}{2}rac{\partial u^2}{\partial x}=0, \qquad u\in P^N(D^k), \quad u^2
ot\in P^N(D^k).$$

• Differentiating  $L^2$  projection  $P_N$  + inexact quadrature: no chain rule.

$$\int_{D^k} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_N u^2 \right) v \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial P_N u^2}{\partial x} \neq P_N \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$

Entropy stability for nonlinear conservation laws

 Analogue of energy stability for nonlinear systems of conservation laws (Burgers', shallow water, compressible Euler, MHD).

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u})}{\partial x} = 0.$$

• Continuous entropy inequality: convex entropy function S(u) and "entropy potential"  $\psi(u)$ .

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial x} \right) = 0, \quad \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$$
$$\implies \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial S(\mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \left( \mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}) \right) \Big|_{-1}^{1} \le 0.$$

Proof of entropy inequality relies on integration by parts, chain rule.

# Why discretely entropy stable (ES) schemes?



- Existing discrete stability theory: regularization, viscosity, TVD, etc.
- Can result in a balancing act between high order accuracy, stability, and robustness.
- Goal: aim for stability independently of artificial viscosity, limiters, and quadrature accuracy.

# Why discretely entropy stable (ES) schemes?



- Existing discrete stability theory: regularization, viscosity, TVD, etc.
- Can result in a balancing act between high order accuracy, stability, and robustness.
- Goal: aim for stability independently of artificial viscosity, limiters, and quadrature accuracy.

## Talk outline

- 1 "Decoupled" summation by parts operators
- 2 Entropy stable formulations and flux differencing
- 3 Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra
- 4 Entropy stable Gauss collocation methods: preliminary results

## Talk outline

#### 1 "Decoupled" summation by parts operators

- 2 Entropy stable formulations and flux differencing
- 3 Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra
- 4 Entropy stable Gauss collocation methods: preliminary results

"Decoupled" summation by parts operators

## Overview of entropy stable high order SBP schemes



(a) GLL collocation

■ Discrete entropy inequality for SBP schemes (e.g. GLL collocation).

 GSBP (e.g. Gauss collocation): higher accuracy, but require non-compact coupling conditions between neighboring elements.

Tetrahedra, prisms, pyramids, etc (over-integration, dense norms)?

Fisher, Carpenter, Nordström, Yamaleev, Swanson (2013), Fisher, Carpenter (2013), Gassner, Winters, and Kopriva (2016), Wintermeyer et al. (2017), Chen and Shu (2017), Crean, Hicken, DCDR Fernandez, et al. (2018), and more ...

# Overview of entropy stable high order SBP schemes





(a) GLL collocation (b) Gauss nodes coupling

■ Discrete entropy inequality for SBP schemes (e.g. GLL collocation).

 GSBP (e.g. Gauss collocation): higher accuracy, but require non-compact coupling conditions between neighboring elements.

Tetrahedra, prisms, pyramids, etc (over-integration, dense norms)?

Fisher, Carpenter, Nordström, Yamaleev, Swanson (2013), Fisher, Carpenter (2013), Gassner, Winters, and Kopriva (2016), Wintermeyer et al. (2017), Chen and Shu (2017), Crean, Hicken, DCDR Fernandez, et al. (2018), and more ...

# Overview of entropy stable high order SBP schemes



■ Discrete entropy inequality for SBP schemes (e.g. GLL collocation).

- GSBP (e.g. Gauss collocation): higher accuracy, but require non-compact coupling conditions between neighboring elements.
- Tetrahedra, prisms, pyramids, etc (over-integration, dense norms)?

Fisher, Carpenter, Nordström, Yamaleev, Swanson (2013), Fisher, Carpenter (2013), Gassner, Winters, and Kopriva (2016), Wintermeyer et al. (2017), Chen and Shu (2017), Crean, Hicken, DCDR Fernandez, et al. (2018), and more ...

# Overview of entropy stable high order SBP schemes



■ Discrete entropy inequality for SBP schemes (e.g. GLL collocation).

- GSBP (e.g. Gauss collocation): higher accuracy, but require non-compact coupling conditions between neighboring elements.
- Tetrahedra, prisms, pyramids, etc (over-integration, dense norms)?

Fisher, Carpenter, Nordström, Yamaleev, Swanson (2013), Fisher, Carpenter (2013), Gassner, Winters, and Kopriva (2016), Wintermeyer et al. (2017), Chen and Shu (2017), Crean, Hicken, DCDR Fernandez, et al. (2018), and more ...

# Quadrature-based matrices for polynomial bases

■ Volume and surface quadratures ( $\mathbf{x}_i^q, \mathbf{w}_i^q$ ), ( $\mathbf{x}_i^f, \mathbf{w}_i^f$ ), exact for degree 2N polynomials. Define diagonal quadrature weight matrices

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{w}^q), \qquad \boldsymbol{W}_f = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{w}^f).$$

■ Assume some polynomial basis φ<sub>1</sub>,..., φ<sub>N<sub>p</sub></sub>. Define the interpolation matrices V<sub>q</sub>, V<sub>f</sub>

$$(\boldsymbol{V}_q)_{ij} = \phi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_i^q), \qquad (\boldsymbol{V}_f)_{ij} = \phi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_i^f).$$

• Introduce quadrature-based  $L^2$  projection and lifting matrices

$$\boldsymbol{P}_q = \boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{V}_q^T \boldsymbol{W}, \qquad \boldsymbol{L}_f = \boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{V}_f^T \boldsymbol{W}_f.$$

■ These matrices map to and from modal and quadrature spaces.

# Quadrature-based differentiation matrices

• Matrix  $D_q^i$ : evaluates derivative of  $L^2$  projection at points  $x^q$ .

$$oldsymbol{D}_{oldsymbol{q}}^{i}=oldsymbol{V}_{oldsymbol{q}}oldsymbol{D}_{oldsymbol{q}}^{i}=egin{array}{cc} \mathsf{modal} & \mathsf{differentiation} & \mathsf{matrix}. \end{array}$$

• Summation-by-parts involving  $L^2$  projection:

$$WD_q^i + (WD_q^i)^T = (V_f P_q)^T W_f \operatorname{diag}(n_i) V_f P_q.$$

• Equivalent to integration-by-parts + quadrature: for  $u, v \in L^2\left(\widehat{D}\right)$ 

$$\int_{\widehat{D}} \frac{\partial P_N u}{\partial x_i} v + \int_{\widehat{D}} u \frac{\partial P_N v}{\partial x_i} = \int_{\partial \widehat{D}} (P_N u) (P_N v) \, \widehat{n}_i$$

■ Recovers GSBP, but entropy stable interface terms are expensive.

"Decoupled" summation by parts operators

# A "decoupled" block SBP operator

- Approx. derivatives also using boundary traces (compact coupling).
- On an element D<sup>k</sup> with unit normal vector n: approximate *i*th derivative (block matrix operating on volume + surface values).

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{N}^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}_{q}^{i} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{V}_{q} \boldsymbol{L}_{f} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{n}_{i}) \boldsymbol{V}_{f} \boldsymbol{P}_{q} & \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{V}_{q} \boldsymbol{L}_{f} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{n}_{i}) \\ -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{n}_{i}) \boldsymbol{V}_{f} \boldsymbol{P}_{q} & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{n}_{i}) \end{bmatrix},$$

•  $\boldsymbol{D}_N^i$  satisfies a summation-by-parts (SBP) property +  $\boldsymbol{D}_N^i \mathbf{1} = 0$ 

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{W} \\ \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}_{N}^{i}, \qquad \boldsymbol{B}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \boldsymbol{n}_{i} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boxed{\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{i} + (\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{i})^{T} = \boldsymbol{B}_{N}} \sim \boxed{\int_{D^{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} g + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}} = \int_{\partial D^{k}} fg \boldsymbol{n}_{i}.$$

Chen and Shu (2017). ES high order DG methods with suitable quadrature rules for hyperbolic conservation laws.

# Differentiation using decoupled SBP operators

- Note:  $D_N^i$  is not a differentiation matrix on its own.
- $P_q, L_f$ , and  $D_N^i$  produce a high order polynomial approximation of  $f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  given data at quadrature points  $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}^q, \mathbf{x}^f]$ .

$$f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_q & \mathbf{L}_f \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{D}_N \mathbf{g}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{g}_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i), g(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

• Equivalent to solving variational problem for  $u(\mathbf{x}) \approx f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ 

$$\int_{D^k} u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{D^k} f \frac{\partial P_N g}{\partial x} v + \int_{\partial D^k} (f - P_N f) \frac{(gv + P_N(gv))}{2}.$$

•  $D_N^i \mathbf{1} = 0$  holds (necessary for discrete entropy conservation).

## Talk outline

#### 1 "Decoupled" summation by parts operators

#### 2 Entropy stable formulations and flux differencing

- 3 Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra
- 4 Entropy stable Gauss collocation methods: preliminary results

















Split form of Burgers': 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$



## Flux differencing: entropy conservative finite volume fluxes

■ Tadmor's entropy conservative (mean value) numerical flux

$$\begin{split} f_{S}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}) &= \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}), \qquad f_{S}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{f}_{S}(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{u}), \qquad \text{(consistency, symmetry)} \\ & (\boldsymbol{v}_{L} - \boldsymbol{v}_{R})^{T} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L},\boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \psi_{L} - \psi_{R}, \qquad \text{(conservation)}. \end{split}$$

Flux differencing for Burgers' equation: let  $u_L = u(x), u_R = u(y)$ 

$$f_S(u_L, u_R) = \frac{1}{6} \left( u_L^2 + u_L u_R + u_R^2 \right),$$

Beyond split formulations: mass flux for compressible Euler

 $f_{S}^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L}, \boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log}\{\{u\}\}, \qquad \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log} = \frac{\rho_{L} - \rho_{R}}{\log \rho_{L} - \log \rho_{R}}$ 

Tadmor, Eitan (1987). The numerical viscosity of entropy stable schemes for systems of conservation laws. I. Chandrashekar (2013). Kinetic energy preserving and entropy stable FV schemes for compressible Euler and NS equations.
■ Tadmor's entropy conservative (mean value) numerical flux

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{f}_{S}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}), \qquad \mathbf{f}_{S}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{f}_{S}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u}), \qquad \text{(consistency, symmetry)} \\ & (\mathbf{v}_{L} - \mathbf{v}_{R})^{T} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{L},\mathbf{u}_{R}) = \psi_{L} - \psi_{R}, \qquad \text{(conservation)}. \end{split}$$

• Flux differencing for Burgers' equation: let  $u_L = u(x), u_R = u(y)$ 

$$f_{S}(u_{L}, u_{R}) = \frac{1}{6} \left( u_{L}^{2} + u_{L} u_{R} + u_{R}^{2} \right),$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x} \Longrightarrow 2 \frac{\partial f_{S}(u(x), u(y))}{\partial x} \Big|_{y=x} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u^{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}.$$

Beyond split formulations: mass flux for compressible Euler

 $f_{S}^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L},\boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log}\{\{u\}\}, \qquad \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log} = \frac{\rho_{L} - \rho_{R}}{\log\rho_{L} - \log\rho_{R}}.$ 

Tadmor, Eitan (1987). The numerical viscosity of entropy stable schemes for systems of conservation laws. I. Chandrashekar (2013). Kinetic energy preserving and entropy stable FV schemes for compressible Euler and NS equations.

■ Tadmor's entropy conservative (mean value) numerical flux

$$f_{S}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}), \qquad \boldsymbol{f}_{S}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{f}_{S}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}), \qquad \text{(consistency, symmetry)}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{v}_{L} - \boldsymbol{v}_{R})^{T} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L}, \boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \psi_{L} - \psi_{R}, \qquad \text{(conservation)}.$$

• Flux differencing for Burgers' equation: let  $u_L = u(x), u_R = u(y)$ 

$$f_{\mathcal{S}}(u(x), u(y)) = \frac{1}{6} \left( u(x)^2 + u(x)u(y) + u(y)^2 \right),$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x} \Longrightarrow \left. 2 \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{S}}(u(x), u(y))}{\partial x} \right|_{y=x} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u^2 \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}.$$

Beyond split formulations: mass flux for compressible Euler

 $f_{S}^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L},\boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log}\{\{u\}\}, \qquad \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log} = \frac{\rho_{L} - \rho_{R}}{\log\rho_{L} - \log\rho_{R}}.$ 

Tadmor, Eitan (1987). The numerical viscosity of entropy stable schemes for systems of conservation laws. I. Chandrashekar (2013). Kinetic energy preserving and entropy stable FV schemes for compressible Euler and NS equations.

■ Tadmor's entropy conservative (mean value) numerical flux

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{f}_{S}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}), \qquad \mathbf{f}_{S}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{f}_{S}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u}), \qquad \text{(consistency, symmetry)}\\ & (\mathbf{v}_{L} - \mathbf{v}_{R})^{T} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{L},\mathbf{u}_{R}) = \psi_{L} - \psi_{R}, \qquad \text{(conservation)}. \end{split}$$

• Flux differencing for Burgers' equation: let  $u_L = u(x), u_R = u(y)$ 

$$f_{\mathcal{S}}(u(x), u(y)) = \frac{1}{6} \left( u(x)^2 + u(x)u(y) + u(y)^2 \right),$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x} \Longrightarrow \left. 2 \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{S}}(u(x), u(y))}{\partial x} \right|_{y=x} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}.$$

Beyond split formulations: mass flux for compressible Euler

$$f_{S}^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L},\boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log}\{\{u\}\}, \qquad \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log} = \frac{\rho_{L} - \rho_{R}}{\log \rho_{L} - \log \rho_{R}}.$$

Tadmor, Eitan (1987). The numerical viscosity of entropy stable schemes for systems of conservation laws. I. Chandrashekar (2013). Kinetic energy preserving and entropy stable FV schemes for compressible Euler and NS equations.

J. Chan (Rice CAAM)

■ Tadmor's entropy conservative (mean value) numerical flux

$$f_{S}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}), \qquad f_{S}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{f}_{S}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}), \qquad \text{(consistency, symmetry)}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{v}_{L} - \boldsymbol{v}_{R})^{T} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L}, \boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \psi_{L} - \psi_{R}, \qquad \text{(conservation)}.$$

• Flux differencing for Burgers' equation: let  $u_L = u(x), u_R = u(y)$ 

$$f_{\mathcal{S}}(u(x), u(y)) = \frac{1}{6} \left( u(x)^2 + u(x)u(y) + u(y)^2 \right),$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x} \Longrightarrow \left. 2 \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{S}}(u(x), u(y))}{\partial x} \right|_{y=x} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} u^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}.$$

Beyond split formulations: mass flux for compressible Euler

$$f_{S}^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{u}_{L}, \boldsymbol{u}_{R}) = \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log} \{\{u\}\}, \qquad \{\{\rho\}\}^{\log} = \frac{\rho_{L} - \rho_{R}}{\log \rho_{L} - \log \rho_{R}}$$

Tadmor, Eitan (1987). The numerical viscosity of entropy stable schemes for systems of conservation laws. I. Chandrashekar (2013). Kinetic energy preserving and entropy stable FV schemes for compressible Euler and NS equations.

# Flux differencing: implementational details

• Define  $F_S$  as evaluation of  $f_S$  at all combinations of quadrature points

$$\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)_{ij} = \boldsymbol{f}_{S}\left(u(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}), u(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})\right), \qquad \boldsymbol{x} = \left[\boldsymbol{x}^{q}, \boldsymbol{x}^{f}\right]^{T}.$$

• Replace  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  with  $D_N$  + projection and lifting matrices.

$$2\frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{S}}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{y}))}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{x}} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_{q} & \boldsymbol{L}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(2\boldsymbol{D}_{N}\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathcal{S}}).$$

 Efficient Hadamard product reformulation of flux differencing (efficient on-the-fly evaluation of F<sub>S</sub>)

$$\operatorname{diag}(2\boldsymbol{D}_N\boldsymbol{F}_S) = (2\boldsymbol{D}_N \circ \boldsymbol{F}_S)\mathbf{1}.$$

• Test  $(2Q_N \circ F_S)\mathbf{1}$  with entropy variables  $\widetilde{v}$ , integrate, use SBP:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( 2\boldsymbol{Q}_{N} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} \right) \boldsymbol{1} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ & \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \boldsymbol{n} \end{bmatrix} + \boldsymbol{Q}_{N} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T} \right) \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} \right) \boldsymbol{1}.$$

 Only boundary terms appear in final estimate; volume terms become boundary terms using properties of (*F<sub>S</sub>*)<sub>ii</sub> = *f<sub>S</sub>* (*ũ<sub>i</sub>*, *ũ<sub>j</sub>*)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1} &= \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\\ &= \sum_{i,j}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\right)_{ij}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}\right)^{T}\boldsymbol{f}_{S}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{j}\right). \end{split}$$

• Test  $(2Q_N \circ F_S)\mathbf{1}$  with entropy variables  $\widetilde{v}$ , integrate, use SBP:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( 2\boldsymbol{Q}_{N} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} \right) \boldsymbol{1} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ & \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \boldsymbol{n} \end{bmatrix} + \boldsymbol{Q}_{N} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T} \right) \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} \right) \boldsymbol{1}.$$

 Only boundary terms appear in final estimate; volume terms become boundary terms using properties of (*F<sub>S</sub>*)<sub>ii</sub> = *f<sub>S</sub>* (*ũ<sub>i</sub>*, *ũ<sub>j</sub>*)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1} &= \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\\ &= \sum_{i,j}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\right)_{ij}\left(\psi(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i})-\psi(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{j})\right). \end{split}$$

• Test  $(2Q_N \circ F_S)\mathbf{1}$  with entropy variables  $\widetilde{v}$ , integrate, use SBP:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( 2\boldsymbol{Q}_{N} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} 
ight) \mathbf{1} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 & \\ & \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \boldsymbol{n} \end{array} 
ight] + \boldsymbol{Q}_{N} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T} 
ight) \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} 
ight) \mathbf{1}.$$

 Only boundary terms appear in final estimate; volume terms become boundary terms using properties of (*F<sub>S</sub>*)<sub>ii</sub> = *f<sub>S</sub>* (*ũ<sub>i</sub>*, *ũ<sub>j</sub>*)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1} &= \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\\ &= \boldsymbol{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\psi-\psi^{T}\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\boldsymbol{1}=\boldsymbol{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\psi \end{split}$$

• Test  $(2Q_N \circ F_S)\mathbf{1}$  with entropy variables  $\widetilde{v}$ , integrate, use SBP:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( 2\boldsymbol{Q}_{N} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} 
ight) \mathbf{1} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 & \\ & \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \boldsymbol{n} \end{array} 
ight] + \boldsymbol{Q}_{N} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T} 
ight) \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} 
ight) \mathbf{1}.$$

 Only boundary terms appear in final estimate; volume terms become boundary terms using properties of (*F<sub>S</sub>*)<sub>ii</sub> = *f<sub>S</sub>* (*ũ<sub>i</sub>*, *ũ<sub>j</sub>*)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1} &= \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\\ &= \boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{B}_{N}\boldsymbol{\psi}. \end{split}$$

• Test  $(2Q_N \circ F_S)\mathbf{1}$  with entropy variables  $\widetilde{v}$ , integrate, use SBP:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( 2\boldsymbol{Q}_{N} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} 
ight) \mathbf{1} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T} \left( \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 & \\ & \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \boldsymbol{n} \end{array} 
ight] + \boldsymbol{Q}_{N} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T} 
ight) \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S} 
ight) \mathbf{1}.$$

 Only boundary terms appear in final estimate; volume terms become boundary terms using properties of (*F<sub>S</sub>*)<sub>ii</sub> = *f<sub>S</sub>* (*ũ<sub>i</sub>*, *ũ<sub>j</sub>*)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1} &= \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}\circ\boldsymbol{F}_{S}\right)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\\ &= \boldsymbol{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{N}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{T}\right)\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{B}_{N}\boldsymbol{\psi}. \end{split}$$

# Modifying the conservative variables

- Conservative variables  $\boldsymbol{u}_h$  and test functions are polynomial, but the entropy variables  $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{u}_h) \notin P^N$ !
- Evaluate flux  $f_S$  using modified conservative variables  $\widetilde{u}$

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{u}\left(P_N\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{u}_h)\right).$$

• If v(u) is an invertible mapping, this choice of  $\tilde{u}$  ensures that

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}} = \boldsymbol{v}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = P_N \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{u}_h) \in P^N.$$

■ Local conservation w.r.t. a generalized Lax-Wendroff theorem.

Shi and Shu (2017). On local conservation of numerical methods for conservation laws.

J. Chan (Rice CAAM)

Parsani et al. (2016). ES staggered grid disc. spectral collocation methods of any order for the comp. NS eqns.

Hughes, Franca, and Mallet (1986). A new finite element formulation for computational fluid dynamics: I. Symmetric forms of the compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations and the second law of thermodynamics.

#### A discretely entropy conservative DG method

Theorem (Chan 2018)

Let 
$$\boldsymbol{u}_h(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_j \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}_j(t)\phi_j(\boldsymbol{x})$$
 and  $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{u}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_q \\ \boldsymbol{V}_f \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_q \boldsymbol{v}\right)$ . Let  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}$  locally solve

$$\boldsymbol{M}\frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_{q} \\ \boldsymbol{V}_{f} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \left( 2\boldsymbol{Q}_{N}^{i} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S}^{i} \right) \mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{V}_{f}^{T} \boldsymbol{W}_{f} \left( \boldsymbol{f}_{S}^{i}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{+}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{i}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \right) \boldsymbol{n}_{i} = 0.$$

Assuming continuity in time,  $\boldsymbol{u}_h(\boldsymbol{x},t)$  satisfies the quadrature form of

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{u}_h)}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( (P_N \boldsymbol{v})^T \boldsymbol{f}^i(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) - \psi_i(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \right) \boldsymbol{n}_i = 0.$$

#### Add interface dissipation (e.g. Lax-Friedrichs) for entropy inequality.

J. Chan (Rice CAAM)

#### Discretely stable DG

Parsani et al. (2016). ES staggered grid disc. spectral collocation methods of any order for the comp. NS eqns. Shi and Shu (2017). On local conservation of numerical methods for conservation laws.

#### A discretely entropy conservative DG method

Theorem (Chan 2018)

Let 
$$\boldsymbol{u}_h(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_j \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}_j(t)\phi_j(\boldsymbol{x})$$
 and  $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{u}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_q \\ \boldsymbol{V}_f \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_q \boldsymbol{v}\right)$ . Let  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}$  locally solve

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_{q} & \boldsymbol{L}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \left( 2\boldsymbol{D}_{N}^{i} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{S}^{i} \right) \mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{L}_{f} \left( \boldsymbol{f}_{S}^{i}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{+}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) - \boldsymbol{f}^{i}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \right) \boldsymbol{n}_{i} = 0.$$

Assuming continuity in time,  $\boldsymbol{u}_h(\boldsymbol{x},t)$  satisfies the quadrature form of

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{u}_h)}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( (P_N \boldsymbol{v})^T \boldsymbol{f}^i(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) - \psi_i(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \right) \boldsymbol{n}_i = 0.$$

#### Add interface dissipation (e.g. Lax-Friedrichs) for entropy inequality.

J. Chan (Rice CAAM)

#### Discretely stable DG

Parsani et al. (2016). ES staggered grid disc. spectral collocation methods of any order for the comp. NS eqns. Shi and Shu (2017). On local conservation of numerical methods for conservation laws.



#### • Interpolate projected entropy variables $P_N \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u})$ to all nodes.

- Perform flux differencing at volume quadrature nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute f<sub>S</sub>(u<sub>L</sub>, u<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.



- Interpolate projected entropy variables  $P_N v(u)$  to all nodes.
- Perform flux differencing at volume quadrature nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute f<sub>S</sub>(u<sub>L</sub>, u<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.



- Interpolate projected entropy variables  $P_N \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u})$  to all nodes.
- Perform flux differencing at volume quadrature nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute f<sub>S</sub>(u<sub>L</sub>, u<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.



- Interpolate projected entropy variables  $P_N \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u})$  to all nodes.
- Perform flux differencing at volume quadrature nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute *f*<sub>S</sub>(*u*<sub>L</sub>, *u*<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.

#### 1D Sod shock tube

- Circles are cell averages.
- CFL of .125 used for both GLL-(N + 1) and GQ-(N + 2).



#### 1D Sod shock tube

- Circles are cell averages.
- CFL of .125 used for both GLL-(N + 1) and GQ-(N + 2).



#### 1D sine-shock interaction

• GQ-(N + 2) needs smaller CFL (.05 vs .125) for stability.



N = 4, K = 40, CFL = .05, (N + 1) point Gauss-Lobatto-Legendre quadrature.

#### 1D sine-shock interaction

• GQ-(N + 2) needs smaller CFL (.05 vs .125) for stability.



# On CFL restrictions

- For GLL-(N + 1) quadrature,  $\tilde{u} = u (P_N v) = u$  at GLL points.
- For GQ-(N + 2), discrepancy between  $L^2$  projection and interpolation.
- Still need positivity of thermodynamic quantities for stability!



# Talk outline

- 1 "Decoupled" summation by parts operators
- 2 Entropy stable formulations and flux differencing
- 3 Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra
- 4 Entropy stable Gauss collocation methods: preliminary results

# 2D Riemann problem



- Degree N polynomials, degree 2N volume and surface quadratures.
- Uniform 64  $\times$  64 triangle mesh: N = 3, CFL .125, Lax-Friedrichs flux.
- Periodic on larger domain ("natural" boundary conditions unstable).

Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra

#### 2D shock-vortex interaction



(a) 
$$t = .3$$
 (b)  $t = .7$ 

- Vortex passing through a shock on a periodic domain (matrix dissipation, degree N = 3 approximation, mesh size h = 1/128).
- Can also impose existing entropy stable wall boundary conditions for compressible Euler with decoupled SBP.

Winters, Derigs, Gassner, Walch (2017). A uniquely defined entropy stable matrix dissipation operator for high Mach number ideal MHD and compressible Euler simulations.

Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra

## Smooth isentropic vortex and curved meshes in 2D/3D



Figure: Example of 2D and 3D meshes used for convergence experiments.

- Entropy stability: needs discrete geometric conservation law (GCL).
- Generalized mass lumping for curved: weight-adjusted mass matrices.
   Modify \$\tilde{u} = u(\tilde{v})\$, \$\tilde{v} = \tilde{P}\_N^k v(u\_h)\$ using weight-adjusted projection \$\tilde{P}\_N^k\$.

Visbal and Gaitonde (2002). On the Use of Higher-Order Finite-Difference Schemes on Curvilinear and Deforming Meshes. Kopriva (2006). Metric identities and the discontinuous spectral element method on curvilinear meshes. Chan, Hewett, and Warburton (2016). *Weight-adjusted discontinuous Galerkin methods: curvilinear meshes*.

J. Chan (Rice CAAM)

#### Smooth isentropic vortex and curved meshes in 2D/3D



 $L^2$  errors for 2D/3D isentropic vortex at T = 5 on affine, curved meshes.

J. Chan (Rice CAAM)

Visbal and Gaitonde (2002). On the Use of Higher-Order Finite-Difference Schemes on Curvilinear and Deforming Meshes. Kopriva (2006). Metric identities and the discontinuous spectral element method on curvilinear meshes. Chan, Hewett, and Warburton (2016). *Weight-adjusted discontinuous Galerkin methods: curvilinear meshes*.

# 3D inviscid Taylor-Green vortex: KE dissipation rate



(a) KE dissipation rate (N = 3,  $h = \pi/8$ ) (b) Change in  $\int_{\Omega} U(u)$  (EC scheme)

- Kinetic energy dissipation rate: good agreement with literature.
- Change in  $\int_{\Omega} U(\boldsymbol{u}) \to 0$  as  $CFL \to 0$  for entropy conservative scheme.

## Talk outline

- 1 "Decoupled" summation by parts operators
- 2 Entropy stable formulations and flux differencing
- 3 Numerical experiments: triangles and tetrahedra
- 4 Entropy stable Gauss collocation methods: preliminary results

# ES Gauss collocation (w/M. Carpenter, DCDR Fernandez)



- Gauss vs GLL quadrature: exact for degree (2N + 1) vs (2N 1).
- Inter-element coupling for Gauss is expensive. Staggered grid collocation is an alternative, but requires degree (*N* + 1) GLL nodes.
- ES Gauss scheme from decoupled SBP (collocation:  $V_q = P_q = I$ ).

Parsani et al. (2016). ES staggered grid disc. spectral collocation methods of any order for the comp. NS eqns.



#### • Collocate u, interpolate entropy variables v(u) to surface nodes.

- Perform flux differencing at Gauss nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute f<sub>S</sub>(u<sub>L</sub>, u<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.



- Collocate u, interpolate entropy variables v(u) to surface nodes.
- Perform flux differencing at Gauss nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute f<sub>S</sub>(u<sub>L</sub>, u<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.



- Collocate u, interpolate entropy variables v(u) to surface nodes.
- Perform flux differencing at Gauss nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.

Compute f<sub>S</sub>(u<sub>L</sub>, u<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.



- Collocate u, interpolate entropy variables v(u) to surface nodes.
- Perform flux differencing at Gauss nodes.
- Compute  $f_S(u_L, u_R)$  for surface nodes of neighboring elements.
- Compute *f*<sub>S</sub>(*u*<sub>L</sub>, *u*<sub>R</sub>) between volume/surface nodes, apply flux differencing with interp. matrix + transpose for volume/surface nodes.

# Numerical results: 2D/3D isentropic vortex



Entropy stability for Gauss collocation on curved meshes: compute geometric terms at GLL points, interpolate to volume and face points.

## Numerical results: 2D/3D isentropic vortex



#### Curvilinear results: in progress!
## Summary and future work

- Discrete semi-discrete entropy stability for (almost) arbitrary choices of basis, quadrature. Usual challenges (positivity, Gibbs, BCs) apply.
- DG-SEM: volume/surface cross terms cancel out!
- Current work: Gauss collocation (with DCDR Fernandez, M. Carpenter), adaptivity + hybrid meshes, multi-GPU.
- This work is supported by DMS-1719818 and DMS-1712639.

Thank you! Questions?



Chan, Wilcox (2018). On discretely entropy stable weight-adjusted DG methods: curvilinear meshes. Chan (2017). On discretely entropy conservative and entropy stable discontinuous Galerkin methods.

#### Additional slides

## Sketch of proof of entropy conservation (one element)

Multiply by mass matrix on both sides, rewrite as

$$\boldsymbol{M}\frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_q \\ \boldsymbol{V}_f \end{bmatrix}^T \left( \boldsymbol{Q}_N \circ \boldsymbol{f}_S \left( \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_q \\ \boldsymbol{V}_f \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_q \boldsymbol{v}_q \right) \right) \boldsymbol{1} = \boldsymbol{0}.$$

• Test with  $L^2$  projection of entropy variables  $P_q v_q = M^{-1} V_q^T W v_q$ .

$$(\boldsymbol{P}_{q}\boldsymbol{v}_{q})^{T}\boldsymbol{M}\frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{v}_{q}^{T}\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{V}_{q}\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{V}_{q}\frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{v}_{q}^{T}\boldsymbol{W}\frac{\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{V}_{q}\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}})}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{W}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{u}_{q})}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{u}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{u}_{q}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{u}_{q})}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

• Spatial term vanishes using SBP, skew-symmetry, and properties of  $f_S$ .

# 1D Sod: over-integration ineffective w/out $L^2$ projection



Figure: Sod shock tube for N = 4 and K = 32 elements. Over-integrating by increasing the number of quadrature points does not improve solution quality.

### 2D curved meshes: conservation of entropy



(a) With weight-adjusted projection (b) Without weight-adjusted projection

Figure: Change in entropy under an entropy conservative flux with N = 4. In both cases, the spatial formulation tested with  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} = P_N \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{u})$  is  $O(10^{-14})$ .